

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

Project Coversheet

[1] Ownership

Unique Project Identifier: 11401

Core Project Name: Bank Junction Improvements: All Change at Bank

Programme Affiliation (if applicable): Bank on Safety

Project Manager: Gillian Howard

Next Gateway to be passed: G4

[2] Project Brief

Project Description: To improve the safety, air quality and pedestrian experience of the area around the Bank junction to reflect the historic and iconic surroundings with the appropriate sense of place.

Definition of need: The junction was Identified in the Bank area strategy in 2013, as a space that did not work well for anyone. It was seen as dangerous and polluted with a high collision rate. This project was initiated to investigate solutions to these issues, to simplify the movement at the junction to create less conflict, to reallocate space to assist with the growth of pedestrian numbers and to ensure that the 'Place' function for the centre of the Bank conservation area is enhanced.

Key measures of success:

- | |
|--|
| 1) Reduction in total casualties – specific interest in reducing Killed and Seriously Injured. |
| 2) Reduced NO ₂ emission levels |
| 3) Improved Pedestrian comfort levels |
| 4) Improved perception of Place (as a place to spend time in, and not just pass through) |

[3] Progress Status

Expected timeframe for the project delivery: 3-4 years

Key Milestones:

- | |
|--|
| 1) Gateway 4 – March / April 2020 |
| 2) Gateway 5 – January / March 2021 |
| 3) Construction substantially complete by end 2022 |

Are we on track for completing the project against the expected timeframe for project delivery?

Yes (subject to the approval of this report – April 2019)

Has this project generated public or media impact and response which the City of London has needed to manage or is managing?

With its close relationship with the Bank on Safety scheme – the longer-term project has had media interest which has been managed by the media team. The public are currently aware that more change is forthcoming at Bank.

[4] Finance and Costed Risk

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

Headline Financial, Scope and Design Changes:

Since 'Project Proposal' G2 report (PSC Approval 05/12/2013):

- Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk): £4-6 million
- Resources to reach next Gateway (excluding risk) £532,000
- Spend to date: £434,000
- Costed Risk Against the Project: N/A
- CRP Requested: N/A
- CRP Drawn Down: N/A

Scope/Design Change and Impact: The introduction of the what became the Bank on Safety Scheme was initiated at the Gateway 3 stage of this project (in the same report)

Since 'Options Appraisal and Design' G3-4 report (PSC Approval G3 01/12/2015, G4 N/A):

- Total Estimated Cost (excluding risk): £4-18 million
- Resources to reach next Gateway (excluding risk) £1,179,000
 - UPDATED to £1,810,761 in April 2019 Issues report
- Spend to date: £886,791
- Costed Risk Against the Project: N/A
- CRP Requested: N/A
- CRP Drawn Down: N/A

Scope/Design Change and Impact:
 The project was put on hold in February 2018 in an issues report
 An issues report in January 2019 sought to restart the project with changes to the project approach. Members agreed a strategic option to pursue rather than continuing with looking at 4 rigid options following the experience and lessons of delivering the Bank on Safety scheme.

The current report (April 2019) seeks approval to the proposed project approach to achieve the strategic aim agreed in the January 2019 report with a request for further funds.

Since 'Authority to start Work' G5 report (PSC Approval xx/yy/zz):

N/A

Total anticipated cost to deliver [£]:4-18 million (subject to option chosen)
Total anticipated on-going commitment post-delivery [£]: (TBC when options are being considered)
Programme Affiliation [£]:With the Bank on Safety scheme- **up to 19.5 million**

Top risk:

<i>Risk description</i>	<i>Infrastructure difficulties of the junction make it difficult to transform the space as people imagine</i>
-------------------------	---

This document can only be considered valid when viewed via the CoL Intranet website. If this is printed into hard copy or saved to another location, you must check that the effective date on your copy matches that of the one on-line.

	<i>Requirement to keep the ability for resilience/flexibility through the area in traffic terms, restricts the options that can be developed</i>
	<i>The need to extend the scope of the project to include other junctions to fulfil the desired space reallocation and suitable traffic movement increases the cost of the project</i>

Top issue realised

Issue Description	Impact and action taken	Realised Cost
Delivery of the Bank on Safety scheme delayed the development of the longer-term vision	<p>The longer-term programme is behind its original schedule, however in terms of stakeholder development and proof of concept, the experimental period has had many benefits to take the long-term vision forward.</p> <p>With the project restarted a new timeline and milestones programme has been set out in the current report.</p>	

[5] Member Decisions and Delegated Authority

Both Planning and Transportation and Streets and Walkways Sub Committee changed the recommendation in the January 2019 Issues report to read:

“Proceed with feasibility design of Strategic Option 2 (semi pedestrian priority with some vehicle movement) to a Gateway 4 report, on the basis that the proposed timescales for the project be tightened, and that Strategic Option 1 be retained as the Corporation’s longer-term aspiration for the junction. The next phase of work will investigate different options for highways alignment, design of public realm and vehicle mix to inform the Gateway 4 report;”